

Forest Products Industries' Economic Contributions: Missouri

April 2020

Prepared by

[Public Sector Consultants](http://www.publicsectorconsultants.com)

Lansing, Michigan

www.publicsectorconsultants.com

Prepared for

Missouri Department of Conservation

Forestry Section

Jefferson City, Missouri

www.mdc.mo.gov

Michigan Department of Natural Resources

Forest Resources Division

Lansing, Michigan

www.michigan.gov/dnr



**PUBLIC SECTOR
CONSULTANTS**



Foreword

Forest products have played an important role in Missouri's economy for over a century. Once home to the largest sawmill in the U.S., Missouri's forests helped build this great nation. Today, Missouri still has a thriving and vibrant forest products industry. The industry not only supplies products throughout the U.S., but also the world.

Over the past 20 years, economic reports regarding Missouri's forest products contributions were made available, but they focused solely on Missouri. Rarely were they put in context of their impact outside the state.

This report is part of a regional economic assessment project which included Missouri, Nebraska, and 18 other midwestern and northeastern states and was designed to view the forest products industry as a whole. It, along with data from other states in the project, allows a direct comparison of Missouri to those states. The interactions of these 20 states are covered in a regional report, which shows Missouri's contribution to the Northeast and Midwestern area of the United States.

Acknowledgements

This report was produced as part of a 20-state project supported by a U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service 2017 Landscape Scale Restoration Grant, administered by the Michigan Department of Natural Resources, Forest Resources Division on behalf of the Northeast-Midwest State Foresters Alliance Forest Markets & Utilization Committee. Mike Morris of the Missouri Department of Conservation contributed extensively to the Missouri report, and we thank him for his contributions.

Table of Contents

Foreword	2
Acknowledgements	3
Table of Contents	4
Executive Summary	5
Glossary	8
Introduction	10
Forest Resources of Missouri	10
Forest Products Industries	14
Economic Contributions of Missouri’s Forest Products Industries	15
Economic Contributions Defined	15
Economic Contribution Results.....	16
Direct and Total Contributions by Forest Products Industries	16
Direct and Total Contributions by Forest Product Industry Groups.....	17
Top Forest Product Sectors	21
Top Nonforest Industries Impacted	21
Neighboring States	22
Importance of the Forest Products Industries in Context	23
Supplemental Economic Contribution Information.....	25
Summary	26
References	27
Appendix A: Methods and Data	28
Appendix B: Forest Products Industries Groupings and IMPLAN Sectors	31
Appendix C: Detailed Economic Contribution Results	33

Executive Summary

This report assesses broad forest conditions and economic contributions of forest products industries in Missouri. It is one of 20 coordinated and comparable state reports in the northeastern and midwestern United States that provides an improved assessment of forests and the economies they support. Forest data come from the U.S. Forest Service's Forest Inventory and Analysis website, and economic data come from the 2017 Impact Analysis for Planning (IMPLAN), a commercially available economic input-output (IO) model.

Missouri boasts 15.3 million acres of forest land that cover 35.2 percent of its land base, with most of this forest land able to produce commercial timber. The majority, 82.2 percent, is privately owned, while state and local governments own 5.8 percent and the federal government owns 12.0 percent.

Forest Industries

This report presents seven forest products industries, which are based on 32 economic sectors in IMPLAN, 28 of which are present in Missouri:

- Forestry
- Logging
- Primary solid wood products
- Secondary solid wood products
- Wood furniture
- Pulp, paper, and paperboard mills
- Secondary paperboard and other paper products

In 2017, Missouri's forest products industries provided direct employment to almost 25,700 people, leading to \$7.0 billion in output. That same year, labor income was \$1.5 billion and value-added was \$2.3 billion. In total contributions, these industries supported 53,862 jobs and \$3.0 billion in labor income, \$4.8 billion in value-added, and \$11.3 billion in output.

Among the top sectors (excluding forest products sectors) impacted by forest products industries were wholesale trade, restaurants, management of companies and enterprises, real estate, trucking, and hospitals. This group of sectors reflects spending by forest products companies, their suppliers, and individuals.

Leading Forest Products Industry Groups

Among the seven industry groups, the leading industries' rank in terms of direct jobs, value-added, and direct output varied by chosen measure:

- Wood furniture had the highest number of direct jobs (7,063), and the second highest value-added (\$420.3 million) and direct output (\$1.2 billion).
- Secondary paperboard and other paper products had the second highest number of direct jobs (6,329) and the highest value-added (\$1.1 billion) and direct output (\$3.2 billion).
- Secondary solid wood products had the third highest employment (5,989), value-added (\$363.5 million), and output (\$1.1 billion).
- Primary solid wood products had the fourth-highest number of direct jobs (3,053), value-added (\$180.5 million), and direct output (\$894.6 million).

Leading Individual Forest Products Sectors

Among the 28 forest products sectors present in Missouri, the top four, by measure in order from highest to fourth highest of direct contributions, were:

- Employment—Paperboard container manufacturing, wood kitchen cabinet and countertop manufacturing, sawmills, and wood container and pallet manufacturing were the top four sectors and had a combined total of 13,185 direct jobs, or 51.3 percent of direct employment.
- Labor income—Paperboard container manufacturing, wood container and pallet manufacturing, wood kitchen cabinet and countertop manufacturing, and sawmills had the highest labor income, totaling \$738.4 million, or 50.4 percent of direct labor income.
- Value-added—Paperboard container manufacturing, wood kitchen cabinet and countertop manufacturing, all other converted paper product manufacturing, and wood container and pallet manufacturing had the highest value-added, totaling \$1.1 billion, or 46.7 percent of direct value-added.
- Output—Paperboard container manufacturing, sawmills, wood kitchen cabinet and countertop manufacturing, and wood container and pallet manufacturing were the top four sectors in output, totaling \$3.8 billion, or 54.3 percent of total direct output.

Missouri's Forest Products Industries Compared to Other Missouri Industries

The forest products industries provide more direct employment, labor income, value-added, and output than commercial fishing, hunting, and trapping and mining and oil and gas production. Overall, forest products industries accounted for 10.1 percent of the nonfood manufacturing jobs in Missouri. In 2017, 8.2 percent of Missouri's 279,043 direct manufacturing jobs were in the forest products industries, one out of every 12 manufacturing jobs.

Missouri's Forest Products Industries Compared to Those of Nebraska, Iowa, and Illinois

Forest products industries in four midwestern states (Missouri, Nebraska, Iowa, and Illinois) employed over 87,800 workers and accounted for \$26.4 billion in direct output. Illinois' forest products economy is the largest in the region, followed by that of Missouri.

Glossary

The following technical terms are used throughout this report when discussing forestry and economic contributions.

Forestry Terms

Average annual harvest removals: The average annual merchantable volume of growing-stock trees that were live at the time of the previous inventory and were either cut and removed by direct human activity related to harvesting or died as a result of silvicultural or land-clearing activity by the time of the current inventory.

Average annual mortality: The average annual merchantable volume of growing-stock trees that were live at the time of the previous inventory and are dead in the current inventory.

Average annual net growth: The average annual change in merchantable volume of growing-stock trees, after deducting mortality volume, between inventories.

Forest land: Land that is at least 10 percent stocked by trees of any size, including land that formerly had such tree cover and that will be naturally or artificially regenerated. Forest land includes transition zones, such as areas between heavily forested and nonforested lands that have at least 10 percent canopy cover with live tally trees, or recently had at least 10 percent canopy cover by live tally trees based on the presence of stumps, snags or other evidence, and forest areas adjacent to urban and built-up lands, including pinyon-juniper and chaparral areas in the western U.S. and afforested areas. The minimum area for classification of forest land is one acre and 120 feet wide measured stem-to-stem from the outermost edge. Unimproved roads and trails, streams, and clearings in forest areas are classified as forest land if less than 120 feet wide.

Growing stock: Live trees of commercial species that meet minimum merchantability standards and only includes trees at least 5 inches in diameter at breast height. In general, these trees have at least one solid eight-foot section, are reasonably free of form defect on the merchantable bole, and at least 34 percent or more of the volume is merchantable. Excludes rough or rotten cull trees.

Timberland: A subset of forest land that produces or can produce crops of industrial wood and not withdrawn from timber utilization by statute or administrative regulation. (Note: Areas qualifying as timberland can produce at least 20 cubic feet per acre per year of industrial wood in natural stands. Currently inaccessible and inoperable areas are included.)

Economic Contribution Terms

Direct effects/contributions: The economic activities (e.g., output, employment, labor income, and value-added) associated with an industry or sector in the study area. These can describe the current economic sectors or changes to those sectors.

Employment: The number of full- and part-time jobs associated with an industry.

Indirect effects/contributions: The impact of local industries purchasing goods and services from other industries, leading to others' outputs, employment, and labor income. This report uses "indirect effects" to refer to the combination of indirect and induced effects.

Induced effects/contributions: The impact of labor income (employee compensation and proprietor income) via goods and services purchased due to the direct and indirect spending by industries. For this report, induced effects are included with indirect effects and referred to as indirect effects.

Labor income: The dollar total of employee compensation and proprietor income; the latter is associated with self-employed individuals.

Output: The dollar measure of production within an area; it is also viewed as sales.

Social Accounting Matrix (SAM) multipliers: These multipliers are derived by dividing the sum of direct, indirect, and induced effects by the direct effects. The social accounts include payments made between households, households and government, and more. These are available for output, employment, labor income, and value-added and are used to assess effects of changes in industry activity (i.e., "ripple effects").

Total effects/contributions: The sum of direct, indirect, and induced effects.

Value-added (also known as gross state product, or GSP): The sum of labor income, other property income (e.g., rents and profits), and indirect business taxes (e.g., excise and sales taxes). It is the difference between an industry's total output and the cost of its intermediate inputs. The sum of value-added for all economic sectors within the region equals the total GSP.

Introduction

Forest products industries are an integral component of Missouri’s economy. They provide jobs, raw materials, and finished goods that generate additional economic activity throughout the state, region, and nation. This report compares the contributions of Missouri’s forest products industries with those of adjacent states. It is one of 20 reports in the Northeast and Midwestern area of the United States that broadly assesses forests and their economic contributions. The interactions of these 20 states are covered in a regional report. In total, these documents provide a consistent reporting format, compiled using identical methods, across the northeastern and midwestern United States. Previous state-level reports in this area were not comparable because they used different methods and data.


To help quantify these relationships and consistently document the industries’ contributions, the Forest Markets & Utilization Committee of the Northeast—Midwest State Foresters Alliance secured federal grant funds to conduct an analysis of 20 midwestern- and northeastern—area states as well as Nebraska. As part of this work, the same project team that completed the individual state reports—comprising members of the Michigan Department of Natural Resources, Public Sector Consultants, Michigan State University forestry economics professor emeritus Larry Leefers, and state forestry experts—published a 20-state report summarizing the economic contributions of forest products industries at a regional level. The U.S. Forest Service funded this work through a 2017 Landscape Scale Restoration grant.

Much of the data used in this report were derived from the U.S. Forest Service Forest Inventory and Analysis database and from IMPLAN, a widely used economic modeling system. These data and related information are presented in four major sections: Forest Resources of Missouri, Forest Products Industries, Economic Contributions of Missouri’s Forest Products Industries, and Summary. Due to rounding, some figures in the following tables may not sum to the exact total indicated. The appendices present the economic methods and detailed economic sector data used for this report.

Forest Resources of Missouri


Missouri is rich in forest resources, which are abundant throughout the state. Exhibit 1 displays how these resources are distributed, county by county.

Exhibit 1. Missouri's Forest Land by County, 2017



At the time of the first forest inventory in 1947, the area of forest land in Missouri was estimated to be 15.2 million acres (Exhibit 2). Forest land area reached an all-time low in 1972 at 12.9 million acres. Since 1972 the area of forest land has increased steadily. Although the most recent survey shows a decrease (less than 1 percent), the area of forest land remains stable (Goff 2018).

Exhibit 2. Area of Forest Land and Timberland in Missouri, 1947–2017



Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Resource Update FS-146

Over 35 percent of the state is forested (Exhibit 3). Timberland is the largest component of forest land, totaling 14.8 million acres (Exhibit 2).

Exhibit 2. Missouri Land Area by Land Use Type, 2017 (U.S. Forest Service)

Land Use Type	Acres	Percentage
Forest land	15,346,259	35.2%
Nonforest land	28,294,858	64.8%
Total	43,641,117	100.0%

The majority (82.2 percent) of Missouri’s forest land is privately owned, and the State of Missouri and U.S. Forest Service are the major public owners (Exhibit 4). Landowners pursue diverse goals. Private landowners have wide latitude in how they treat their lands—some have a hands-off approach, while others pursue active management. There are several state and federal programs designed to encourage the active management of private forest lands. State and national forests are actively managed in many areas, while resource protection is emphasized in others. Active timber management provides the feedstock for Missouri’s forest products industries.

Exhibit 3. Forest Land by Ownership Group (2017)

Ownership Group	Acres	Percentage
Other federal	1,843,969	12.0%
State and local governments	889,903	5.8%
Private	12,612,387	82.2%
Total	15,346,259	100.0%

Trees are common throughout the state. They are in our forests, along our rivers, and in our yards. There are approximately 8 billion trees in Missouri—1,300 trees for each person in the state (Goff 2018).

Missouri’s major forest types include oak/hickory, elm/ash/cottonwood, and oak/pine (Exhibit 5). Missouri is internationally known for its white oak barrels; Missouri’s diverse timber species also support an array of other forest products industries, including hardwood-grade lumber, black walnut veneer, gun stocks, cabinetry, and a variety of industrial lumber and wood packaging products.

Exhibit 4. Forest Land Area by Forest Type Group (2017)

Forest Type Group	Acres	Percentage
Oak/hickory	12,307,624	80.2%
Elm/ash/cottonwood	1,133,987	7.4%
Oak/pine	907,561	5.9%
Other eastern softwoods	365,826	2.4%
Loblolly/shortleaf pine	269,799	1.8%
Oak/gum/cypress	153,933	1.0%
Maple/beech/birch	97,173	0.6%
Other	110,355	0.7%
Total	15,346,259	100.0%

The estimated volume of standing timber suitable for forest products was about 17 billion cubic feet, or about 216 million standard cords¹ (Exhibit 6). Average annual net growth exceeded annual harvest removals by a ratio of about 2.3 to 1. That is, for every cubic foot of harvesting that took place, 2.3 cubic feet of timber grew, after accounting for mortality. Average annual harvest removals in 2017 of growing stock were about 129.7 million cubic feet, or about 1.6 million cords—less than 1 percent of standing volume.

Exhibit 5. Characteristics of Growing Stock in Missouri, 2017 (million cubic feet)

Measure	Total	National Forest	Other Federal	State and Local	
				Government	Private
Net volume	17,045.9	2,088.2	360.2	1,164.7	13,432.9
Average annual net growth	299.3	18.5	5.5	14.0	261.3
Average annual harvest removals	129.7	5.5	-	8.8	115.5
Average annual mortality	229.8	37.0	6.0	18.9	167.9

Note: Net volume is merchantable volume, in cubic feet, of growing-stock trees for timber species (trees where diameter is measured at breast height) from a 1-foot stump to a minimum 4-inch top diameter, or to where the central stem breaks into limbs all of which are less than 4.0 inches in diameter. Volume loss due to rotten, missing, and form cull has been deducted. Growing stock is defined as live trees of commercial species that meet minimum merchantability standards and only includes trees at least 5 inches in diameter at breast height. Net growth is the average annual change (gross growth minus mortality) in merchantable volume, in cubic feet, of growing-stock trees on forestland. Harvest removals are the average annual merchantable volume, in cubic feet, of growing-stock trees at the time of removal from forest land. Annual mortality is the average annual merchantable volume, in cubic feet, of growing-stock trees at the time of mortality on forest land.

¹ A standard cord is a unit of measurement for pulpwood or sawlogs, generally equivalent to a stack of wood measuring four feet wide by four feet tall by eight feet long. A stacked cord of wood typically contains about 79 cubic feet of solid wood, excluding air space.

Forest Products Industries

Contribution analysis focuses on industries' role in an economy. The first step is often defining the region (e.g., a state). One of the next steps is to define exactly which economic sectors comprise the focus industries. To analyze the contributions of the forest industries, representatives from the U.S. Forest Service's northeastern and midwestern states and Nebraska selected 32 sectors by consensus for inclusion in the analysis. A description of the methods and data is presented in Appendix A. To concisely describe and communicate the economic contribution of the forest products industries, these 32 sectors were aggregated into seven broad groups (Appendix B):

- Forestry
- Logging
- Primary solid wood products
- Secondary solid wood products
- Wood furniture
- Pulp, paper, and paperboard mills
- Secondary paperboard and other paper products

In total, these sectors cover forest-specific manufacturing activities, including the conversion of trees into primary products and the manufacture of products used by other sectors and households. Primary industries (e.g., sawmills, reconstituted wood products [such as oriented strand board], and power plants) use wood directly from the forest, including roundwood, chips, or similar forms. Secondary industries (e.g., trusses and furniture) use one or more primary forest products (e.g., lumber and paperboard) in their manufacturing processes. Value is added as the timber is processed through primary and secondary manufacturers. Several sectors included wood and nonwood products (e.g., institutional furniture manufacturing). Therefore, output and other measures were reduced to better reflect the wood-only component by using published government data or surveys (Gibson, Leefers, and Poudel 2020).

This report used IMPLAN to estimate economic contributions of the forest products industries. IMPLAN is a widely used input-output model that comprises economic data and software. IO models characterize financial linkages among and between sectors, households, and institutions, and can be constructed for different geographic areas. Within these models, various sectors have production functions that show the value of inputs used in production of outputs or commodities. Missouri's economy was represented by 499 sectors in 2017, the most recent year available for IMPLAN data at the time of the analysis. These sectors are based on the North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS).

Economic Contributions of Missouri’s Forest Products Industries


This section of the report includes four major subsections: Economic Contributions Defined, Economic Contribution Results, Importance of the Forest Products Industries in Context, and Supplemental Economic Contribution Information.

Economic Contributions Defined

Input-Output Analysis and IMPLAN

Forest products industries influence the economy in three ways: direct effects (when industries sell commodities in response to demand), indirect effects (as suppliers to directly impacted sectors), and induced effects (household spending by employees in directly and indirectly impacted sectors) (Exhibit 7). The total economic contribution is the value of production required to meet all the needs stemming from the initial activity—in this case, forest product–related purchases.

Exhibit 6. Concept of Total Economic Contribution Analysis



IO modeling using IMPLAN software and data is a conventional approach for documenting forest products industries’ economic contributions. This analysis used the matrix inversion approach with external IMPLAN model adjustment as a primary method for estimating economic contributions of forest products industries in Missouri (Gibson, Leefers, and Poudel 2020). Major economic indicators generated by IMPLAN include employment (full- and part-time jobs), labor income, total output, and value-added.

Interaction Between State and Regional Analyses

IMPLAN models are based on interactions across the economy. One important aspect of these interactions is whether commodities are sourced locally or imported. In smaller areas (e.g., counties), fewer commodities are sourced locally. As a result, leakages occur when purchases are made—that is, fewer dollars stay in the local economy.

Larger economies have fewer leakages and more commodities are sourced locally. For example, an examination of the logging industries (IMPLAN sector 16) in Iowa, Illinois, Indiana, and Missouri, reveals that the direct employment for 2017 was 610, 705, 1,422, and 2,270 jobs, respectively. Summing the individual state's total employment contributions (direct, indirect, and induced) yields 7,365 jobs. However, if the states are combined as one region, the total employment contribution increases to 7,412 jobs. This increase reflects less leakage and more local purchases.

The larger role is due to trade, but IMPLAN does not explicitly show trade with specific states, only overall imports and exports. The regional analysis highlights the larger role of forest products industries in the region's economy. Consequently, the state-level analyses underestimate the actual contributions from a regional perspective.

Economic Contribution Results

This section presents direct and total contributions for all forest products industries, direct and total contributions by forest product industry groups (e.g., logging, furniture, etc.), the top forest products sectors, and the top nonforest products sectors affected by the forest products industries. Finally, this section compares forest industries in nearby states, other natural resources industries, and manufacturing industries within the state.

Forests and forest products industries are central for the transition to a greener and more sustainable economy. A green goods and services economy relies on the sustainable use of natural resources, and Missouri's forest products industries are tightly bound to forests and the goods and ecosystem services that they provide (e.g., wildlife habitat, watershed protection, carbon sequestration, etc.).

Direct and Total Contributions by Forest Products Industries

Contribution analysis provides a means to assess the role various industries play in a state's economy. Missouri forest products industries' total economic contribution in terms of output was \$11.3 billion, based on direct output of \$7.0 billion (Exhibit 8). About 25,700 direct jobs were associated with this level of economic activity, supporting a total of 53,862 jobs. Direct labor income, which includes employee compensation and proprietor income, was \$1.5 billion, or around \$57,000 per job. Total labor income, which includes income paid directly to industry employees and proprietors, their suppliers, and other industries they support, totaled \$3.0 billion.

Exhibit 7. Economic Contribution of Forest Products Industries in Missouri, 2017 Dollars

Effect	Employment	Labor Income (Thousands of Dollars)	Value-added* (Thousands of Dollars)	Output (Thousands of Dollars)
Direct	25,699	\$1,466,242	\$2,336,133	\$6,985,972
Total	53,862	\$2,961,633	\$4,759,704	\$11,292,249

* Value-added in IMPLAN is equivalent to GSP.

Each direct job in the forest products industries supported 1.1 additional jobs, and every \$1 million in direct labor income supported an additional \$1.02 million in indirect and induced labor income.

Most state economies are large relative to any particular industry or group of industries. The forest products industries are no exception. In 2017, Missouri's population was estimated at 6.1 million people, with total employment of 3.8 million. The gross state product was \$308.0 billion from 499 economic sectors (of the possible 536 in the US). The GSP's largest component was labor income, which was \$194.5 billion.

Direct value-added for forest products industries was \$2.3 billion, 0.8 percent of Missouri's total GSP, increasing to 1.6 percent when considering total value-added effects. These percentages hold for other economic measures (e.g., jobs) as well.

Direct and Total Contributions by Forest Product Industry Groups

As previously noted, the 32 IMPLAN forest products sectors were combined into seven industry groups (Appendix B). In Missouri, wood furniture was the largest of these groups in terms of direct employment, and the second largest in terms of labor income, value-added, and output (Exhibit 9). Secondary paperboard and other paper products was the second largest group in terms of direct employment and the largest in terms of labor income, value-added, and output. Forestry, which includes maple syrup production, timber tract operations, and forestry support activities, was the smallest group for all metrics except direct employment.

Two groups—secondary paperboard and other paper products and wood furniture—accounted for nearly two-thirds of forest products industries' output. Over half of forest products industries' employment was in the wood furniture and secondary paperboard and other paper products groups.

Exhibit 8. Direct Economic Contributions in Missouri, Industry Groups, 2017

Industry Group	Employment	Labor Income (Thousands of Dollars)	Value-added (Thousands of Dollars)	Output (Thousands of Dollars)
Forestry	536	\$26,784	\$27,236	\$35,816
Logging	2,270	\$136,602	\$150,045	\$199,936
Primary solid wood products	3,053	\$166,233	\$180,474	\$894,618
Secondary solid wood products	5,989	\$328,356	\$363,525	\$1,106,338
Wood furniture	7,063	\$340,476	\$420,256	\$1,187,050
Pulp, paper, and paperboard mills	460	\$31,095	\$130,994	\$399,724
Secondary paperboard and other paper products	6,329	\$436,697	\$1,063,603	\$3,162,490
Total	25,699	\$1,466,242	\$2,336,133	\$6,985,972

Exhibit 9. Total Economic Contributions in Missouri, Industry Groups, 2017

Industry Group*	Employment	Labor Income (Thousands of Dollars)	Value-added (Thousands of Dollars)	Output (Thousands of Dollars)
Forestry	432	\$27,500	\$32,226	\$45,986
Logging	1,058	\$56,190	\$70,801	\$105,589
Primary solid wood products	8,512	\$462,789	\$636,164	\$1,592,979
Secondary solid wood products	12,390	\$666,288	\$895,032	\$2,084,689
Wood furniture	12,944	\$642,171	\$904,675	\$2,074,068
Pulp, paper, and paperboard mills	1,840	\$110,228	\$253,089	\$616,368
Secondary paperboard and other paper products	16,685	\$996,466	\$1,967,717	\$4,772,571
Total	53,862	\$2,961,633	\$4,759,704	\$11,292,249

*Forestry and logging are reported in this table, but most of their contributions are as indirect inputs or intermediate inputs used for production in the other five industry groups.

For the following sector-specific discussions, refer to Exhibit 9 for direct contribution details and Exhibit 10 for total contribution details. See Appendix C for detailed economic measures for industry groups and their component sectors.

Forestry

The forestry group includes timber tract operations, establishments primarily engaged in the operation of timber tracts for the purpose of selling standing timber, and support activities for forestry such as estimating timber; forest firefighting; forest pest control; treating burned forests from the air for reforestation or on an emergency basis; and consulting on wood attributes and reforestation related to timber production, wood technology, forestry economics and marketing, and forest protection.

Out of seven industry groups, forestry was the second smallest in terms of direct employment in 2017. Direct contributions were \$35.8 million in output, 536 jobs, \$26.8 million in labor income, and \$27.2 million value-added. Total contributions are based, in part, on backward linkages to suppliers. Total contributions for forestry can be lower than direct contributions (i.e., initial IMPLAN levels) because many of the contributions are inputs into other industries. For example, 55.9 percent of forestry jobs are counted as contributions in other industries, mostly logging and primary solid wood products (e.g., sawmills). Hence, the total contributions displayed in Exhibit 10 underrepresent the industry's broader contributions—reporting total contributions for forestry is somewhat misleading because much of the forestry total contribution effects are hidden in the total contributions of other industries. The same holds true for logging below.

Logging

The logging industry group contains establishments primarily engaged in one or more of the following: cutting timber, cutting and transporting timber, and producing wood chips in the field. Logging was the third smallest in terms of direct employment. The direct contributions of logging were \$199.9 million in output, 2,270 jobs, \$136.6 million in labor income, and \$150.0 million in value-added. Most logging activity is an input into production in other industries, especially for manufacturing primary solid wood products (e.g., lumber), paper, and paperboard. In Missouri, 70.7 percent of logging jobs are included in the total contributions of other industries. As with forestry, logging's total contributions are underrepresented due to their inclusion in other industries.

Primary Solid Wood Products

The primary solid wood products industry group was the fourth largest group in terms of direct employment in Missouri. Primary solid wood products sectors include wood-based electric power generation, sawmills, wood preservation, veneer and plywood manufacturing, and reconstituted and wood product manufacturing industries. The direct contributions of the group were \$894.6 million in output, 3,053 jobs, \$166.2 million in labor income, and \$180.5 million in value-added. Total contributions for primary solid wood products, including direct, indirect and induced effects, were \$1.6 billion in output, 8,512 jobs, \$462.8 million in labor income, and \$636.2 million in value-added. Many primary solid wood products (e.g., lumber and panels) are inputs in other industries, which counted in other industries' total contributions.

Secondary Solid Wood Products

Secondary solid wood products was the third largest group in terms of direct employment in Missouri. This group contains engineered wood member and truss manufacturing; wood windows and doors manufacturing; cut stock, resawing lumber, and planing; other millwork, including flooring, wood container, and pallet manufacturing; manufactured home (mobile home) manufacturing; prefabricated wood building manufacturing; and all other miscellaneous wood product manufacturing. Direct contributions of secondary solid wood products were \$1.1 billion in output, 5,989 jobs, \$328.4 million in labor income, and \$363.5 million in value-added. Total contributions were \$2.1 billion in output, 12,390 jobs, \$666.3 million in labor income, and \$895.0 million in value-added.

Wood Furniture

Wood furniture was the largest group in terms of direct employment in Missouri. Wood furniture includes wood kitchen cabinet and countertop manufacturing; upholstered household furniture manufacturing; nonupholstered wood household furniture manufacturing; institutional wood furniture manufacturing; wood office furniture manufacturing; custom architectural woodwork and millwork manufacturing; and showcase, partition, shelving, and locker manufacturing. Direct contributions of wood furniture were \$1.2 billion in output, 7,063 jobs, \$340.5 million in labor income, and \$420.3 million in value-added. Total contributions of wood furniture were \$2.1 billion in output, 12,944 jobs, \$642.2 million in labor income, and \$904.7 million in value-added.

Pulp, Paper, and Paperboard Mills

The pulp, paper, and paperboard mills industry group was the smallest in terms of direct employment in Missouri. The group includes pulp mills, paper mills, and paperboard mills that make paper or pulp from raw wood and from purchased pulp. The pulp, paper, and paperboard mills group's direct contributions were \$399.7 million in output, 460 jobs, \$31.1 million in labor income, and \$131.0 million in value-added. Total contributions were \$616.4 million in output, 1,840 jobs, \$110.2 million in labor income, and \$253.1 million in value-added.

Secondary Paperboard and Other Paper Products

The secondary paperboard and other paper products group was the second largest in terms of direct employment in Missouri. The group comprises paper and paperboard manufacturing, paper bag and coated and treated paper manufacturing, stationery product manufacturing, sanitary paper product manufacturing, and all other converted paper product manufacturing. Facilities in this group manufacture products from purchased pulp, paper, paperboard, or recycled materials. The direct contributions in 2017 were \$3.2 billion in output, 6,329 jobs, \$436.7 million in labor income, and \$1.1 billion in value-added. Total contributions were \$4.8 billion in output, 16,685 jobs, \$996.5 billion in labor income, and \$2.0 billion value-added.

Top Forest Product Sectors

Among the 32 industry sectors that comprise the seven industry groups listed above, the leading sectors varied by the contribution measure examined. In terms of direct jobs, the four largest forest products sectors are paperboard container manufacturing (4,095 jobs), wood kitchen cabinet and countertop manufacturing (3,386 jobs), sawmills (2,874 jobs), and wood container and pallet manufacturing (2,829 jobs). These sectors reflect the diversity of manufacturing in the state.

The paperboard container manufacturing sector comprises establishments primarily engaged in converting paperboard into containers without manufacturing paperboard. These establishments use corrugating, cutting, and shaping machinery to form paperboard into containers. Products made by these establishments include boxes, corrugated sheets, pads, pallets, paper dishes, and fiber drums, and reels.

The wood kitchen cabinet and countertop manufacturing sector comprises establishments primarily engaged in manufacturing wood or plastics laminated on wood kitchen cabinets, bathroom vanities, and countertops (except freestanding). The cabinets and counters may be made on a stock or custom basis.

The sawmills sector comprises establishments primarily engaged in sawing dimensional lumber, boards, beams, timbers, poles, ties, shingles, shakes, siding, and wood chips from logs or bolts. Sawmills may plane the rough lumber that they make with a planing machine to achieve smoothness and uniformity of size.

The wood container and pallet manufacturing sector comprises establishments primarily engaged in manufacturing wood pallets, wood box shoo, wood boxes, other wood containers, and wood parts for pallets and containers.

In terms of labor income, paperboard container manufacturing, wood container and pallet manufacturing, wood kitchen cabinet and countertop manufacturing, and sawmills had the highest labor income, totaling \$738.4 million. In terms of value-added, paperboard container manufacturing, wood kitchen cabinet and countertop manufacturing, all other converted paper product manufacturing, and wood container and pallet manufacturing were the top four sectors, totaling \$1.1 billion. In terms of output, paperboard container manufacturing, sawmills, wood kitchen cabinet and countertop manufacturing, and wood container and pallet manufacturing were the top four sectors, totaling \$3.8 billion.

Top Nonforest Industries Impacted

Contribution analysis using IMPLAN relies on backward linkages from forest products industries sectors among themselves and to other sectors in Missouri. Including the 28 forest products industries, 158 sectors were impacted in 2017 (counting sectors with ten or more jobs supported). The top ten sectors (excluding forest products sectors) included wholesale trade, restaurants, management of companies

and enterprises, real estate, trucking, and hospitals (Exhibit 11). This set of sectors reflects indirect and induced spending by forest products companies, their suppliers, and individuals.

These data were at an aggregate level, so 975 jobs in truck transportation included log trucks, delivery trucks, and office jobs for some trucking companies, among others. Seven of these sectors were among the top ten sectors in the state of Missouri (real estate was number two, followed by wholesale trade and hospitals—each had over 128,000 jobs).

Exhibit 10. Direct Jobs Impacted by the Forest Products Industries Among Missouri’s Top Ten Non-Forest Products Industries in 2017

Sector	Description	Jobs
395	Wholesale trade	2,408
502	Limited-service restaurants	1,212
501	Full-service restaurants	1,185
461	Management of companies and enterprises	1,171
440	Real estate	1,112
411	Truck transportation	975
482	Hospitals	937
468	Services to buildings	672
464	Employment services	574
405	Retail—General merchandise stores	511
Total		10,756

Neighboring States

The midwestern states of Missouri, Nebraska, Iowa, and Illinois are important for forest products. Forest products industries employ 87,887 workers across these states and account for \$26.4 billion in direct output (Exhibits 12 and 13). Illinois had the largest forest products economy with 38,554 direct jobs and output of \$12.9 billion. Missouri had the second largest forest products industry, followed by Iowa. Nebraska’s industry was the smallest of these four states. The three largest industry groups, each with over 23,000 employees, were secondary paperboard and other paper products, secondary solid wood products, and wood furniture.

Exhibit 11. Forest Products Industries Direct Employment in Missouri, Nebraska, Iowa, and Illinois, 2017

Industry	Missouri	Nebraska	Iowa	Illinois
Forestry	536	36	94	499
Logging	2,270	197	610	705
Primary solid wood products	3,053	246	590	1,201
Secondary solid wood products	5,989	2,121	9,038	6,304
Wood furniture	7,063	1,649	3,751	10,808
Pulp, paper, and paperboard mills	460	17	63	1,081
Secondary paperboard and other paper products	6,329	1,534	3,688	17,956
Sum of Direct Contributions	25,699	5,800	17,834	38,554

Exhibit 12. Forest Products Industries Direct Output in Missouri, Nebraska, Iowa, and Illinois, 2017

Industry	Missouri (Thousands of Dollars)	Nebraska (Thousands of Dollars)	Iowa (Thousands of Dollars)	Illinois (Thousands of Dollars)
Forestry	\$35,816	\$1,549	\$5,317	\$22,227
Logging	\$199,936	\$9,768	\$39,080	\$23,565
Primary solid wood products	\$894,618	\$91,874	\$177,580	\$487,465
Secondary solid wood products	\$1,106,338	\$415,319	\$2,064,553	\$1,149,448
Wood furniture	\$1,187,050	\$296,447	\$581,969	\$2,101,745
Pulp, paper, and paperboard mills	\$399,724	\$12,454	\$67,209	\$852,881
Secondary paperboard and other paper products	\$3,162,490	\$707,147	\$2,042,494	\$8,226,039
Sum of Direct Contributions	\$6,985,972	\$1,534,558	\$4,978,201	\$12,863,371

Importance of the Forest Products Industries in Context

To help contextualize the relative importance of the forest products industries, it is useful to compare the contribution of Missouri's forest products industries with others. Natural resources and agricultural industries significantly contribute to the diversity of economic activities reflected in Missouri's \$308.0 billion GSP. The forest products industries provide more direct labor income, value-added, and output than commercial fishing, hunting, and trapping and mining and oil and gas production (Exhibit 14). Missouri's forest products industries comprised 0.8 percent of the GSP in 2017. Agricultural production provided the largest amount of employment (full- and part-time), by far, of these industries.

Exhibit 13. Natural Resources and Agricultural Production Industries in Missouri, 2017

Industry	Employment	Labor Income (Thousands of Dollars)	Value-added (Thousands of Dollars)	Output (Thousands of Dollars)
Forest products	25,699	\$1,466,242	\$2,336,133	\$6,985,972
Commercial fishing, hunting, and trapping	722	\$(141)	\$4,493	\$4,976
Mining and oil and gas production	9,385	\$327,434	\$1,066,756	\$1,931,788
Agricultural production (plant crop and animal)	110,897	\$1,485,565	\$3,977,610	\$10,750,241
Total	146,704	\$3,279,099	\$7,384,992	\$19,672,976

Labor income per job was highest in forest products (\$57,054). For agricultural production, the average per job was \$13,396; mining and oil and gas had the second highest average income at \$34,889. IMPLAN results indicated that commercial fishing, hunting, and trapping generated a loss of income. It was, by far, the smallest of the natural resource industries.

Most of the forest products industries are manufacturers, however, the forestry, logging, and biomass power groups are not. There were 279,043 manufacturing jobs in Missouri in 2017. Of those, 22,894 were in the forest products industries, 8.2 percent of the total. Of 16 industries, forest products manufacturing was fifth in terms of employment, behind transportation equipment, food, fabricated metal, and machinery manufacturing. It was sixth in terms of labor income, value-added, and output (Exhibit 15).

Exhibit 14. Manufacturing Industries in Missouri, 2017

Manufacturing Industries	Employment	Labor Income (Thousands of Dollars)	Value-added (Thousands of Dollars)	Output (Thousands of Dollars)
Transportation equipment	46,255	\$4,601,803	\$7,613,308	\$43,746,816
Food	45,623	\$3,079,235	\$5,861,692	\$29,651,881
Fabricated metal	30,984	\$1,930,389	\$2,903,338	\$7,690,034
Machinery	26,319	\$1,834,051	\$2,601,637	\$9,322,199
Forest products	22,894	\$1,302,857	\$2,158,853	\$6,750,220
Chemical	19,228	\$2,265,549	\$6,050,272	\$19,253,872
Plastics and rubber products	16,970	\$1,000,856	\$1,589,257	\$5,463,452
Printing	13,155	\$672,481	\$1,121,483	\$2,287,056
Miscellaneous	10,541	\$706,451	\$1,062,955	\$2,649,775
Electrical equipment	10,303	\$791,533	\$1,503,760	\$4,132,830
Computer and electronic product	9,206	\$804,314	\$1,829,877	\$3,637,355
Nonmetallic mineral product	7,775	\$469,183	\$804,260	\$2,703,810
Primary metal	6,607	\$484,701	\$723,275	\$2,924,637
Beverage and tobacco product	5,976	\$455,480	\$1,356,493	\$3,444,867
Textiles and apparel	5,847	\$236,675	\$288,341	\$936,448
Petroleum and coal	1,362	\$216,097	\$645,756	\$1,836,220
Total	279,043	\$20,851,653	\$38,114,556	\$146,431,472

Supplemental Economic Contribution Information

The report by Gibson, Leefers, and Poudel provides a detailed discussion of which sectors were included and excluded from this analysis (2020). Most economic data used in this report were derived from IMPLAN, with one notable exception.

For most of the partial sectors (Appendix B), ratios of published government data were used to identify a portion of the industry that would be treated as forest products. In cases where only part of an IMPLAN sector was associated with forest products, analysts faced three options. The most conservative option was to include only sectors viewed as 100 percent in forest products, excluding sectors where only part produced forest products. At the other end of the spectrum, analysts could have focused on sectors producing any forest products at all, even if the forest products represented a small part of total output. Between these extremes, analysts could choose a third option—selecting the portion of a sector that produced forest products and include only that portion, mindful to include a means for assessing the magnitude of that portion. That is the approach used in this report.

Wood is used in many other products not covered by the 28 sectors highlighted in this report. For example, boats, blinds, musical instruments, burial caskets, organic chemicals, and pharmaceuticals may use wood directly or as an extract. However, the wood-only component of these product groups is difficult to quantify and was unable to be included in this report. Surveys could be designed and conducted to determine the forest products component of these sectors. In practice, the production functions, employment, output, and other metrics would need to be compiled and inserted into IMPLAN.

Summary

Over the last 20 years, individual states located in the midwestern and northeastern area of the United States have conducted statewide economic contributions studies of the forest products industries. However, these studies differed in approach, data used, and measures reported. Developing a consistent approach required funding that spanned multiple states. The Forest Markets & Utilization Committee of the Northeast—Midwest State Foresters Alliance secured grant funds through the Landscape Scale Restoration Program within the U.S. Forest Service, Eastern Region, State and Private Forestry to support investigation of the economic contributions of the forest products industry in the 20 northeastern and midwestern states and Nebraska. To that end, the Michigan Department of Natural Resources Forest Resources Division (serving as the lead on the grant project) contracted with Public Sector Consultants to facilitate discussions among the project partner states and to reach consensus on an appropriate analysis methodology and report template for both the regional and state reports, in addition to conducting the analysis.

This report serves as a snapshot of economic contributions of the forest products industries in Missouri for 2017, as well as a baseline report for future analyses. State data were used in this report, but given IMPLAN's structure, substate and multistate analyses can be developed. However, future analyses may again require funding from the U.S. Forest Service or other institutions for assessments across multiple states. Methods used in developing this report are consistent across the region. There were 25,699 direct jobs in the forest products industries, and overall, 53,862 jobs were supported. Direct labor income was \$1.5 billion with total labor income at \$3.0 billion. Direct value-added was \$2.3 billion, and the total contribution for value-added was \$4.8 billion. Finally, direct output was \$7.0 billion with a total contribution of \$11.3 billion in output. Similar report findings are available from other states in the region and are summarized in a regional report.

References

- Gibson, Melissa, Larry Leefers, and Jagdish Poudel. 2020. Forest Products Industry Regional Economic Analysis: Methods. Lansing: Public Sector Consultants.
- Goff, Thomas. 2018. [Forests of Missouri, 2017](#). Newtown Square, PA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Northern Research Station. Accessed April 23, 2020.
https://www.fs.fed.us/nrs/pubs/ru/ru_fs146.pdf
- Henderson, James and Garen Evans. 2017. [Single and Multiple Industry Economic Contribution Analysis Using IMPLAN](#). Starkville: Mississippi State University Forest and Wildlife Research Center. Accessed October 11, 2019. https://www.fwrc.msstate.edu/pubs/implan_2017.pdf
- Parajuli, Rajan, James Henderson, Shaun Tanger, Omkar Joshi, and Ram Dahal. November 2018. “[Economic Contribution Analysis of the Forest-product Industry: A Comparison of the Two Methods for Multisector Contribution Analysis Using IMPLAN](#).” *Journal of Forestry* 116(6): 513–519.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/jofore/fvy047>
- United States Department of Agriculture Forest Service. 2019. “[Forest Inventory EVALIDator](#).” United States Department of Agriculture Forest Service Forest Inventory and Analysis Program. Accessed October 22, 2019. <http://apps.fs.usda.gov/Evalidator/evalidator.jsp>

Appendix A: Methods and Data

Input-Output Analysis: IMPLAN

Several key decisions related to methods were developed through a consensus process (Gibson, Leefers, and Poudel 2020). The project team, in consultation with the states, made consensus decisions regarding the modeling method for estimating economic contributions, the forest products sectors to include in analysis (either in total or in part), the IMPLAN year for reporting results, and the use of an analysis spreadsheet for consistent reporting.

The economic contributions of the region and each state's forest products industries relied on 2017 IMPLAN software and data. IMPLAN is a widely used economic IO model that focuses on interdependence among various producing and consuming sectors in the economy. IMPLAN has 536 industry sectors for the 2017 data set and is based on the NAICS. IMPLAN data are compiled and linked by the IMPLAN software (Version 3.1.1001.12); data come from various government agencies, including the U.S. Census Bureau, the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, and the U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis. Economic measures in IMPLAN include employment, labor income, value-added, output, and others. More detailed information on data sources is available at [the IMPLAN website](#).

Wassily Leontief developed IO modeling in the mid-20th century. Impact analysis examines the effects of changes in demand in a regional economy, while contribution analysis can evaluate the role of several related sectors in a region. IMPLAN provides the software and data to conduct such analyses. Each sector has a production function tracing the backward linkages (i.e., suppliers) to other sectors. Various sectors produce commodities (e.g., the logging sector produces logs). Leakages (e.g., foreign and domestic imports/exports) to and from other regions are also modeled. Social accounting flows among industries, households, government, and capital are included in IMPLAN.

The analysis process begins with creating an IMPLAN model. One or more geographic areas (e.g., counties or states) are selected as the region. Then, models are run through the creation of multipliers. This report uses Social Accounting Matrix (SAM) multipliers. Next, activities are selected to estimate either economic impacts or contributions. For example, analysts can estimate the impacts of expanding or contracting industries. In the case of contribution analysis, it is important to ensure that the level of production does not exceed the actual level of production in the region. Contribution analysis essentially counters the effects of the multipliers.

Contributions can be in terms of value-added, output, employment, and/or labor income. Value-added is commonly used to describe an industry's economic contributions and is a conservative measure of these contributions. Value-added is the difference between an industry's output, and the costs of intermediate inputs. When a sawmill sells a board, the value of the log and other inputs is not counted in value-added because they were counted when produced by loggers and others. Thus, only new additions to value (e.g., labor income) are included. Labor income is the major component of value-

added and includes employee compensation and proprietor income. Value-added, summed across all sectors, is equal to GSP.

Another measure of economic contribution is industry output. For example, if a log is sold to a sawmill that sells boards, both sales are counted as part of the overall region's output, as they are important economic activities. Another measure, employment, includes both full- and part-time jobs. As the number of sectors in an analysis increases, there can be overlap in the number of part-time jobs across sectors.

Methods

IMPLAN estimates economic impacts (i.e., effects of economic changes) and contributions (i.e., effects of existing industries). Two methods for multisector economic contribution analysis are available (Parajuli et al., 2018), both requiring significant data manipulation.

The first method customizes the IMPLAN model by changing selected endogenous tables, whereas the second method adjusts input values based on matrix inversion prior to analysis. In method one, the changes are internal to IMPLAN and difficult to monitor from a quality control perspective.

Method two relies mostly on spreadsheet-based manipulation and is easier to monitor. When the contribution analysis is completed, direct effects from the IMPLAN sectors of interest equal the amounts shown in IMPLAN's "Industry Detail" table, and the total contributions (direct plus indirect plus induced) are estimated. Both methods prevent over reporting of total effects, which can occur if standard economic impact analysis is used when contribution analysis results are desired.

IMPLAN was designed for economic impact analysis. Multipliers ensure that the ripple effect manifests across the economy. A portion of those effects often involve self-purchases within the sector of interest. That is, if the output from the logging sector is \$1 million in a local economy, the economic impact of \$1 million in sales would be greater than that amount due to self-purchases. The contribution methods are designed to yield the \$1 million direct contribution and its associated effects. Put simply, the amount of sales (direct contribution) estimated cannot exceed the amount that actually exists. Methods one and two accomplish this.

The matrix inversion approach relies on developing a detailed social accounting matrix (SAM) output multipliers for each sector in the forest products industries. Hence, a 32x32 matrix is developed with the diagonal yielding a value close to 1.0 for the detailed multipliers relating each row-column sector to itself (e.g., logging to logging, sawmills to sawmills, etc.). The actual matrix can be developed in several ways. For example, the SAM matrix can be exported from IMPLAN and narrowed down to the appropriate row and columns for the forest products industries. Then, it can be used to develop detailed multipliers via matrix inversion. Alternatively, detailed multipliers can be exported and rearranged into a 32x32 matrix. The approach used in this report was to rely on a matrix developed by IMPLAN staff for

the state. Then, the matrix was inverted and multiplied the initial IMPLAN output values for forest industries sectors to yield inputs for IMPLAN analysis.

Appendix B: Forest Products Industries Groupings and IMPLAN Sectors

Exhibit B1. Forestry Industry Grouping and IMPLAN Sectors

IMPLAN Sector	Sector Name
10	Maple syrup production*
15	Forestry, forest products, and timber tract production
19	Support activities for forestry*

Note: Sectors with an “*” indicate that only a portion of the sector is included in the forest products industries.

Exhibit B2. Logging Industry Grouping and IMPLAN Sector

IMPLAN Sector	Sector Name
16	Commercial logging

Exhibit B3. Primary Solid Wood Products Industry Grouping and IMPLAN Sectors

IMPLAN Sector	Sector Name
47	Electric power generation—biomass*
134	Sawmills
135	Wood preservation
136	Veneer and plywood manufacturing
138	Reconstituted wood product manufacturing

Note: Sectors with an “*” indicate that only a portion of the sector is included in the forest products industries.

Exhibit B4. Secondary Solid Wood Products Industry Grouping and IMPLAN Sectors

IMPLAN Sector	Sector Name
137	Engineered wood member and truss manufacturing
139	Wood windows and doors manufacturing
140	Cut stock, resawing lumber, and planing
141	Other millwork, including flooring
142	Wood container and pallet manufacturing
143	Manufactured home (mobile home) manufacturing
144	Prefabricated wood building manufacturing
145	All other miscellaneous wood product manufacturing

Exhibit B5. Wood Furniture Industry Grouping and IMPLAN Sectors

IMPLAN Sector	Sector Name
368	Wood kitchen cabinet and countertop manufacturing
369	Upholstered household furniture manufacturing
370	Nonupholstered wood household furniture manufacturing
372	Institutional wood furniture manufacturing*
373	Wood office furniture manufacturing
374	Custom architectural woodwork and millwork manufacturing
376	Showcase, partition, shelving, and locker manufacturing*

Note: Sectors with an “*” indicate that only a portion of the sector is included in the forest products industries.

Exhibit B6. Pulp, Paper, and Paperboard Mills Industry Grouping and IMPLAN Sectors

IMPLAN Sector	Sector Name
146	Pulp mills
147	Paper mills
148	Paperboard mills

Exhibit B7. Secondary Paperboard and Other Paper Products Industry Grouping and IMPLAN Sectors

IMPLAN Sector	Sector Name
149	Paperboard container manufacturing
150	Paper bag and coated and treated paper manufacturing
151	Stationery product manufacturing
152	Sanitary paper product manufacturing
153	All other converted paper product manufacturing

Appendix C: Detailed Economic Contribution Results

Direct Economic Contribution by IMPLAN Sector

Exhibit C1. Direct Economic Contributions, Forestry Detail, 2017

Sector	Employment	Labor Income (Thousands of Dollars)	Value-added (Thousands of Dollars)	Output (Thousands of Dollars)
Forestry, forest products, and timber tract production	292	\$17,753	\$18,394	\$26,038
Support activities for forestry	244	\$9,030	\$8,842	\$9,778
Maple syrup production	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	536	\$26,784	\$27,236	\$35,816

Exhibit C2. Direct Economic Contributions, Logging Detail, 2017

Sector	Employment	Labor Income (Thousands of Dollars)	Value-added (Thousands of Dollars)	Output (Thousands of Dollars)
Commercial logging	2,270	\$136,602	\$150,045	\$199,936
Subtotal	2,270	\$136,602	\$150,045	\$199,936

Exhibit C3. Direct Economic Contributions, Primary Solid Wood Products Detail, 2017

Sector	Employment	Labor Income (Thousands of Dollars)	Value-added (Thousands of Dollars)	Output (Thousands of Dollars)
Electric power generation—biomass	-	-	-	-
Sawmills	2,874	\$154,526	\$164,535	\$797,728
Wood preservation	113	\$7,156	\$9,648	\$63,731
Veneer and plywood manufacturing	3	\$284	\$304	\$906
Reconstituted wood product manufacturing	63	\$4,267	\$5,987	\$32,254
Subtotal	3,053	\$166,233	\$180,474	\$894,618

Exhibit C4. Direct Economic Contributions, Secondary Solid Wood Products Detail, 2017

Sector	Employment	Labor Income (Thousands of Dollars)	Value-added (Thousands of Dollars)	Output (Thousands of Dollars)
Engineered wood member and truss manufacturing	600	\$33,696	\$36,755	\$133,110
Wood windows and doors manufacturing	168	\$10,942	\$12,103	\$38,536
Cut stock, resawing lumber, and planing	465	\$23,126	\$27,877	\$102,895
Other millwork, including flooring	1,292	\$68,116	\$79,312	\$259,995
Wood container and pallet manufacturing	2,829	\$156,425	\$168,728	\$457,066
Manufactured home (mobile home) manufacturing	11	\$741	\$869	\$2,632
Prefabricated wood building manufacturing	210	\$14,041	\$14,726	\$38,543
All other miscellaneous wood product manufacturing	416	\$21,269	\$23,155	\$73,562
Subtotal	5,989	\$328,356	\$363,525	\$1,106,338

Exhibit 15. Direct Economic Contributions, Wood Furniture Detail, 2017

Sector	Employment	Labor Income (Thousands of Dollars)	Value-added (Thousands of Dollars)	Output (Thousands of Dollars)
Wood kitchen cabinet and countertop manufacturing	3,386	\$154,765	\$179,213	\$485,215
Upholstered household furniture manufacturing	900	\$40,080	\$48,959	\$174,706
Nonupholstered wood household furniture manufacturing	365	\$17,901	\$23,976	\$51,674
Institutional wood furniture manufacturing	380	\$20,899	\$25,720	\$73,903
Wood office furniture manufacturing	312	\$12,400	\$20,609	\$64,009
Custom architectural woodwork and millwork manufacturing	443	\$21,548	\$26,312	\$68,413
Showcase, partition, shelving, and locker manufacturing	1,277	\$72,882	\$95,467	\$269,129
Subtotal	7,063	\$340,476	\$420,256	\$1,187,050

Exhibit 16. Direct Economic Contributions, Pulp, Paper, and Paperboard Mills Detail, 2017

Sector	Employment	Labor Income (Thousands of Dollars)	Value-added (Thousands of Dollars)	Output (Thousands of Dollars)
Pulp mills	-	-	-	-
Paper mills	460	\$31,095	\$130,994	\$399,724
Paperboard mills	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	460	\$31,095	\$130,994	\$399,724

Exhibit C7. Direct Economic Contributions, Secondary Paperboard and Other Paper Products Detail, 2017

Sector	Employment	Labor Income (Thousands of Dollars)	Value-added (Thousands of Dollars)	Output (Thousands of Dollars)
Paperboard container manufacturing	4,095	\$272,656	\$571,130	\$2,053,983
Paper bag and coated and treated paper manufacturing	385	\$24,104	\$76,362	\$203,732
Stationery product manufacturing	702	\$42,609	\$121,463	\$312,182
Sanitary paper product manufacturing	141	\$15,382	\$122,467	\$195,955
All other converted paper product manufacturing	1,006	\$81,946	\$172,180	\$396,639
Subtotal	6,329	\$436,697	\$1,063,603	\$3,162,490

Note: Value-added in IMPLAN is equivalent to gross state product.

U.S. Forest Service Nondiscrimination Statement

“In accordance with Federal law and U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) civil rights regulations and policies, this institution is prohibited from discriminating on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, age, disability, and reprisal or retaliation for prior civil rights activity. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.)

Persons with disabilities who require alternative means of communication for program information (e.g., Braille, large print, audiotape, American Sign Language, etc.) should contact the responsible State or local Agency that administers the program or USDA’s TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TTY) or contact USDA through the Federal Relay Service at (800) 877-8339. Additionally, program information is also available in languages other than English.

To file a complaint alleging discrimination, complete the [USDA Program Discrimination Complaint Form](#), AD-3027, found online at http://www.ascr.usda.gov/complaint_filing_cust.html , or at any USDA office or write a letter addressed to USDA and provided in the letter all of the information requested in the form. To request a copy of the complaint form, call (866) 632-9992. Submit your completed form or letter to USDA by: (1) mail: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, D.C. 20250- 9410; (2) fax: (202) 690-7442; or (3) email: program.intake@usda.gov.

This institution is an equal opportunity provider.”